

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,745	01/15/2002	James M. Campos	ROWE/03	5179
26875 75	590 06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP 2700 CAREW TOWER			SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY	
441 VINE STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CINCINNATI,	OH 45202		3762	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

ω					
Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/047,745	CAMPOS, JAMES M.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
Kennedy Schaetzle	3762				

•	Kennedy Schaetzle	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, so tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		TRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(nd the corresponding amount of the fee atutory period for reply originally set in th	The appropriate extension of (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· .	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 9 and 39.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-27,29,31-38,40-56,58 and 59</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: Interview Summary form attached. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	
	V.	mody/tel	ratt 1
	~	KENNEDY SCHAE	WER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The expanded spacing range of 3,500 to 7,000 microseconds is substantially close enough to the spacing discussed in the Kallok reference to require further consideration..